

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Dr. J. R. Baheti
2. Academic Year: 2021-2022
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): PHARMACOLOGY (HDT)
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Challenging           Adequate          Inadequate          Dull          Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poor          Fair          Average           Good          Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

Dr. J. R. Baheti  
 Name of the evaluator:

J. R. Baheti  
 Signature of evaluator



**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: DR. PARIMAL P. KATOLKAR
2. Academic Year: 2024-2025
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): Pharmaceutical Chemistry B.Pharm. II Sem.
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals? Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry - I  
 Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
 Poor      Fair       Average      Good      Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
 Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
 Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
 Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
 Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Challenging       Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent

12. Give suggestions for improvement

Etched chemistry must be included in Sem II: pharmaceutical Organic chemistry - I

Name of the evaluator: Dr. Parimal P. Katolkar      Signature of evaluator: [Signature]



### Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

- Name of the teacher: Dr. Pravin B. Surse
- Academic Year: 2021-2022
- Department and Class (You are teaching): Pharmaceuticals (Physical pharmacy)
- How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
- What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
- What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
- What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
- The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
- Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
- The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging       Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
- Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
- Give suggestions for improvement  
- Nil -

Dr. Pravin B. Surse  
Name of the evaluator:



Dr. Pravin B. Surse  
Signature of evaluator

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Dr. Mahendra C. Gunde
2. Academic Year: 2021-2022
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): B.Pharm - I Semester
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good          Excellent  (Communication Skills)
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good           Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good           Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good           Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good           Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good          Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Challenging          Adequate           Inadequate          Dull          Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poor          Fair          Average          Good           Excellent

12. Give suggestions for improvement

.....  
 .....  
 .....

Dr. M. C. Gunde  
 Name of the evaluator:



[Signature]  
 Signature of evaluator

## Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

- Name of the teacher: 2021-22 (Ms. D.M. Shabadi)
- Academic Year:  $\rightarrow$
- Department and Class (You are teaching): p<sup>l</sup>chemistry B.Pharm sem IV
- How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
 Poor Fair  Average Good Excellent
- What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
 Poor Fair  Average Good Excellent
- What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
 Poor  Fair Average Good Excellent
- What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
 Poor Fair Average  Good Excellent
- The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
 Poor Fair Average  Good Excellent
- Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
 Poor Fair Average  Good Excellent
- The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Challenging  Adequate Inadequate Dull Irrelevant
- Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Poor Fair  Average Good Excellent

12. Give suggestions for improvement

Kind suggestion to put this subject- Medicinal Chemistry I in V syllabus after familiar with Heterocyclic chemistry.

D.M. Shabadi  
Name of the evaluator:



  
Signature of evaluator

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: *Ashwini R. Ingole*
2. Academic Year: *2021-2022*
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): *Pharmaceutics (Industrial Pharmacy)*
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair       Average      Good      Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging       Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
*- Nothing -*

*A. R. Ingole*

Name of the evaluator:



*A. R. Ingole*  
Signature of evaluator

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Manish A. Kamble
2. Academic Year: 2021-2022
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): B.Ph. 6<sup>th</sup> Herbal Drug Tech
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average  Good      Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging      Adequate       Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
.....  
.....  
.....

Name of the evaluator: M.A. Kamble



[Signature]  
Signature of evaluator

Amar Sewa Mandal's  
KAMLA NEHRU COLLEGE OF PHARMACY BUTIBORI-441 108, NAGPUR

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Mr. Lokesh Thote
2. Academic Year: 2020+21
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): B. Pharm Sem VII
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_.  
 Challenging      Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_.  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
.....  
.....  
.....

Mr. Lokesh Thote

Name of the evaluator:



[Signature]  
Signature of evaluator



Amar Sewa Mandal's  
KAMLA NEHRU COLLEGE OF PHARMACY BUTIBORI-441 108, NAGPUR

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Seema B. Wabodkar
2. Academic Year: 2021-2022
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): Pharmacology - PCOL-II B.Pharm V
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                   Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                   Good                  Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                   Good                  Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                   Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are Excellent for providing proper assessment?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                   Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                   Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
 Challenging                  Adequate                  Inadequate                  Dull                  Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                   Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
.....  
.....  
.....

Seema B. Wabodkar  
Name of the evaluator:

[Signature]  
Signature of evaluator



Amar Sewa Mandal's  
**KAMLA NEHRU COLLEGE OF PHARMACY BUTIBORI-441 108, NAGPUR**

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Mrs. K. R. Pandey
2. Academic Year: 2021-22
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): Practical Chemistry & B.Ph I Sem
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good      Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging       Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
NO  
.....  
.....  
.....

Name of the evaluator: Mrs. Kavita R. Pandey

Signature of evaluator Kavita



**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Mr. Pradeep S. Raghatare
2. Academic Year: 2021-22
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): Pharmaceutical Analysis - I (B. Pharm I sem)
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging       Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
No  
.....  
.....  
.....

Mr. Pradeep S. Raghatare  
Name of the evaluator:



[Signature]  
Signature of evaluator

Amar Sewa Mandal's  
**KAMLA NEHRU COLLEGE OF PHARMACY BUTIBORI-441 108, NAGPUR**

**Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum**

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Ms. Kalyani M. Dongrewar
2. Academic Year: 2021-2022
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): Pharmaceutical Microbiology II<sup>nd</sup> year
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor      Fair      Average      Good       Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging       Adequate      Inadequate      Dull      Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor      Fair      Average       Good      Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement \_\_\_\_\_  
.....  
.....  
.....

Ms. Kalyani Dongrewar

Name of the evaluator:

[Signature]

Signature of evaluator



### Feedback Form for Teacher on Curriculum

You are requested to tick mark on the appropriate option for each of the following criteria for the assessment regarding curriculum from Teachers. If you feel you can't judge any of these parameters, leave it blank. In case you need to make any suggestions, please write the same in brief in the space provided at the end. The data will be utilized for making necessary changes.

1. Name of the teacher: Tejaswini S. Selwate
2. Academic Year: 2021-2022
3. Department and Class (You are teaching): CADP  
~~CADP~~ - B.Pharm-IV (8 sem)
4. How satisfactory is the syllabus to meet your teaching goals?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
5. What is the relation between syllabus and industry standards /current scenarios and academics?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
6. What is the possibility to cover the syllabus timely in the mentioned number of hours?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
7. What is the availability of reference material and books for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
8. The existing methods mentioned in the syllabus for evaluation are \_\_\_\_\_ for providing proper assessment?  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
9. Does the syllabus has \_\_\_\_\_ applicability/relevance to real life situations.  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
10. The syllabus is \_\_\_\_\_  
Challenging                  Adequate                  Inadequate                  Dull                  Irrelevant
11. Overall Rating is \_\_\_\_\_  
Poor                  Fair                  Average                  Good                  Excellent
12. Give suggestions for improvement  
.....  
.....  
.....

Tejaswini Selwate  
Name of the evaluator:



[Signature]  
Signature of evaluator